

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 09 Mar 2004	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REG. NO. N/A		5. PROJ NO. (if applicable)
6. ISSUED BY CODE		N00164	7. ADMINISTERED BY (if other than Item 6) CODE		
CONTRACTING OFFICER NAVSURFWARCENDIV 300 HWY 361 CRANE IN 47522-5001 BUYER/SYMBOL: Daniel Davis/1162NN PHONE: 812-854-3384 E-mail: DavisDC@crane.navy.mil					
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, State and ZIP Code)			9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N00164-03-R-4401		
			9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)		
			10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ ORDER NO.		
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)		
TIN NO.			X		
Cage:		FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers [] is extended, [X] is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning ____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required) N/A					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS AND CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT CHANGE NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b)					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor () is not, (X) is required to sign this document and return 1 copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organization by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) SEE PAGE 2.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY		16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			(Signature of Contracting Officer)		

NSN 7540-01-152-8070
PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV 10-83)
Prescribed by GSA
FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

N00164-03-R-4401/0001
PAGE 2 OF 2

SECTION SF30 SECTION 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

A. In page 2 Section "B" Notes #3: Remove the "date shown in item 5" and replace with "date shown in item 9".

B. In Section "B" Notes add:

The Government's estimated maximum dollar value for this program is \$49,900,000.00.
All offerors are to be aware that there is a potential for five concurrent installations which may or may not be awarded to one firm.

C. On Attachment 4 (Past Performance):

Remove:

It is requested that the Contracting Officer and the Technical Point of Contact for the contract cited above, complete this questionnaire to provide Past Performance Data for consideration by the RFP evaluation panel. The Questionnaire shall be forwarded to Naval Surface Warfare Center Crane Division, 300 Highway 361, Crane IN, 47522-5001, Bldg. 2521 Attention: Daniel Davis 1162NN, Solicitation No. N00164-03-R-4401, on or before 15 December 2003 (solicitation closing date). Facsimile Number: (812) 854-3384.

Replace with:

It is requested that the Contracting Officer and the Technical Point of Contact for the contract cited above, complete this questionnaire to provide Past Performance Data for consideration by the RFP evaluation panel. The Questionnaire shall be forwarded to Naval Surface Warfare Center Crane Division, 300 Highway 361, Crane IN, 47522-5001, Bldg. 2521 Attention: Daniel Davis 1162NN, Solicitation No. N00164-03-R-4401, no later than five (5) days prior to proposal due date. Facsimile Number: (812) 854-5095.

D. The NAICS Code for N00164-03-4401 is 336611 Ship Building and Repairing

E. On Page 34 Section "L" Instruction, Conditions and Notices to Offerors:

Remove:

It is requested that technical questions concerning this procurement be submitted, **in writing**, to arrive at NAVSURFWARCENDIV Crane not later than 2:00 PM EST on the seventh calendar day preceding the date shown in item 9 on page 1 addressed as follows:

Replace with:

It is requested that technical questions concerning this procurement be submitted, **in writing (via e-mail)**, to arrive at NAVSURFWARCENDIV Crane as early as possible but not later than 2:00 PM EST on the seventh calendar day preceding the date shown in item 9 on page 1 addressed as follows:

F. The date for receipt of proposals remains the same.

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

Past Performance Reference

COMPANY PERFORMING REFERENCE

(Name, Address, and Telephone #)

PERSON COMPLETING QUESTIONNAIRE

(Name, Job Title, and Telephone #)

The following contractor has submitted a proposal to provide Shipboard Physical Security Installation Services in response to a recent RFP.

CONTRACTOR:

CONTRACT NUMBER:

CONTRACT TYPE:

NATURE OF THE EFFORT:

It is requested that the Contracting Officer and the Technical Point of Contact for the contract cited above, complete this questionnaire to provide Past Performance Data for consideration by the RFP evaluation panel. The Questionnaire shall be forwarded to Naval Surface Warfare Center Crane Division, 300 Highway 361, Crane IN, 47522-5001, Bldg. 2521 Attention: Daniel Davis 1162NN, Solicitation No. N00164-03-R-4401, no later than five (5) days prior to proposal due date. Facsimile Number: (812) 854-5095.

Circle the number on the scale of 1 to 5 which most accurately describes the contractor's performance on the referenced contract. A "5" represents an Exceptional performance, and a "1" indicates an Unacceptable performance. Please add any comments and information that might help to determine the contractor's probable performance.

RATING SCALE

1. Assess the contractor's conformance to contract requirements, specifications and standards of good workmanship (e.g. commonly accepted technical, professional, environmental, or safety and health standards), including the accuracy of reports/data. For example: Did the product or service provided always meet the SOW/specifications of the contract? Did the work measure up to commonly accepted technical or professional standards?

1 2 3 4 5

2. Assess the degree to which the contractor did not require Government technical direction to solve problems that arose.

1 2 3 4 5

RATING SCALE

3. Assess the timeliness of the contractor against the completion of the contract, task orders, milestones, delivery schedules, and administrative requirements. Instances of adverse actions such as the assessment of liquidated damages, or issuance of Cure Notices, Show Cause Notices, Delinquency Notices are indicators of problems which may have resulted in variance to the contract schedule and should therefore be noted in the evaluation.

1 2 3 4 5

4. Assess the contractor's ability to overcome program, technical, or schedule difficulties. Assess the contractor's technical judgment as demonstrated by the quality of their design results.

1 2 3 4 5

5. Cost Control (Not required for Firm Fixed Price or Firm Fixed Price with Economic Price Adjustment) Assess the contractor's effectiveness in forecasting, managing, and controlling contract cost. For example: Did the contractor keep within the total estimated cost (what is the relationship of the negotiated costs and budgeted cost to actuals)? Were billings current, accurate and complete?

1 2 3 4 5

6. Assess the adequacy of the contractor's accounting, billing and estimating systems.

1 2 3 4 5

7. Did the contractor's labor charges remain consistent with what was initially proposed at the outset of the contract?

1 2 3 4 5

8. Assess the integration and coordination of all activity needed to execute the contract, specifically the timeliness, completeness and quality of problem identification, corrective action plans, proposal submittals, the contractor's history of reasonable and cooperative behavior. Was the contractor oriented toward customer satisfaction?

1 2 3 4 5

9. Assess the contractor's success with timely award and management of subcontracts, including management control, subcontract costs and problem resolution.

1 2 3 4 5

RATING SCALE

10. Assess the contractor's performance in selecting, retaining, supporting, and replacing, when necessary, the key personnel. For example, how well did the contractor match the qualifications of the key position, as described in the contract, with the person who filled the key position? If a key person did not perform well, did the contractor take effective action to correct this? If replacement of key person(s) was necessary, did the replacement(s) meet or exceed the qualifications of the position as described in the contract?

1 2 3 4

5

11. If the contract incorporated a small business, HUBZone small business, small disadvantaged business and women-owned small business subcontracting plan, were the goals attained or exceeded?

1 2 3 4 5

12. Other than meeting the goals of the small business, HUBZone small business, small disadvantaged business and women-owned small business subcontracting plan, how successful is the offeror in fostering participation and assisting such firms?

1 2 3 4 5

TOTAL POINTS

QUALITY

NOTE: AN EXPLANATION MUST ACCOMPANY ALL ANSWERS WITH AN ASTERISK (*)

13. Was consideration or a monetary withholding for non-conforming supplies/services or late deliveries assessed against this contract?

☐ Yes*

☐ No

*Explanation: _____

14. List instances of any adverse action taken due to inability to meet technical requirements, or delivery or cost schedules (e.g. cure notices, show cause notices, or other delinquency notices, etc.)

*Explanation: _____

15. Was/is any part of this contract terminated for default and/or in litigation?

☐ Yes*

☐ No

*Explanation: _____

16. Was any warranty work completed on delivered items?

☐ Yes*

☐ No

*Explanation: _____

TIMELINESS

NOTE: AN EXPLANATION MUST ACCOMPANY ALL ANSWERS WITH AN ASTERISK (*).

17. Were all items (including products, services, reports, etc.) delivered within the original contract or delivery order schedule?

☐ Yes

☐ No*

*Explanation: _____

PRICE/COST

18. What was the awarded contract price/cost? \$ _____

19. How many price/cost type modifications were issued? _____

20. Was the original contract price/cost met?

☐ Yes

☐ No*

*Explanation: _____

21. If the estimated cost was not met, what was the positive/negative percentage of change?

+ _____ - _____

22. Address the consistency of labor rates/charges during the term of the contract in comparison to rates initially proposed at the onset of the contract.

Explanation: _____

23. Address any innovative actions taken that resulted in cost savings.

Explanation: _____

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION

24. Provide information and describe problems encountered under the identified contract and offeror's corrective action(s) initiated to solve any of the described problems. Discuss the success of the corrective action(s) taken.

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

25. What are the contractor's strong points and/or what did you like most about the contractor?

[illegible]

26. What are the contractor's weak points and/or what did you like least about the contractor?

[illegible]

27. Would you award similar contracts to the contractor? ☐ YES ☐ NO

Comments: _____

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

_____ <i>(NAME, POSITION (TPOC OR KO))</i>	_____ <i>SIGNATURE</i>	_____ <i>DATE</i>
---	---------------------------	----------------------